

---

Subject: Schiavo Situation

Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Sat, 26 Mar 2005 16:36:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Michael's case carries more weight than her parent's case. Michael can rely on at two pieces of (circumstantial) evidence while the parents have.. well... none.

In a court of law, no evidence means no case. But just because there is evidence to support Michael's claim and not Terri's parents, does not mean there is any real logic behind the ruling other than "you can prove your case and you can't". The judges aren't stupid, they are doing their job exactly within the regions they are allowed to and are siding with death as opposed to life.

Oh yeah, did I mention that within the confines of this case, the ruling also says that  $1+1=3$ , dogs walk people, and hamburgers eat YOU!

---