

---

Subject: The WMD and terrorist ties that didn't exist...or did they?

Posted by [Javaxcx](#) on Mon, 11 Oct 2004 05:16:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

AircraftkillerAs the victors it's our right to make money off the war. I personally think we should take their oil and annex the sections of Iraq with it for all the trouble that nation has caused us.

That wasn't what I was talking about.

Quote:The difference with the bribery is that I only see you focus on the US, and how you can incriminate us. How about playing fair and focusing equally on the others responsible for this mess?

In all "fairness": France, Germany, and Russia didn't cause the deaths of hundreds of innocent people while leaving just as many homeless. Sure, that's what comes with conventional war nowadays, but it doesn't change the fact that innocent people died under your attacks. Read my post again chief: if they're in the wrong, they need to be punished as well.

Quote:I don't care if it was the law or not. The problem with the "law" was that it was flawed. Generally, when laws are antiquated or not good, you'll try to get them changed. We did, if I remember correctly. It's hard to change the laws when the people who don't want it changed are the ones being bribed.

If this is what you (and possibly millions of Americans) believe, then why is it that you are still in the U.N.?

Quote:OK, so let me get this straight... we should let Saddam go, punish Russia, France, and Germany's leaders for being bribed... punish the US leaders as war criminals. Yes, I see that a much better solution.

Stop being so one dimensional. Now understand this, because you and many others are having a seemingly difficult time comprehending: "NO BODY IS ABOVE THE LAW". Conservative or not, you're all (supposed) to be equal when it comes to the law. If you ask Bush if you go to his final debate on Wednesday, he will tell you the same thing. If you are going to punish Saddam the way you have done so by eviscerating him from his sovereignty, and go as far as to criticize the French, Germans, and Russians for their illegal deals which you suspect are the reasons they would not support you, how in God's name can you possibly ignore the rampant hypocrisy of your own nation? If you can even fathom condemning any of those nations under a legal pretense without looking ever so closely at yourself, then maybe I was right all along: Maybe you are living in a bubble.

As for your dues, I've only looked for a few minutes, but:

Quote:Jan. 2003. The United States, the world's richest nation, is currently the biggest single defaulter owing more than 800 million dollars to the world body.

<http://www.worldrevolution.org/article/241>

But yet, all these poorer nations can afford to pay their debts.

Quote:and how can they bring us to "justice" if Bush refused to make us a part of the International Criminal Court in the Hague?

So wait a second here, are you trying to imply that you had no legal right to do dick all in the first Gulf War because the ICC wasn't even invented yet? How is it that the U.N. can beat on Saddam Hussein back then or enforce resolutions on him with legal pretense before, and AFTER the creation of the ICC but all of a sudden, you consider yourself absolved from it here and now? Sorry Crimson, not being part of the ICC doesn't absolve you from the obligations to the law when you signed the charter. It obviously didn't for Saddam. Nice try, though.

---