

---

Subject: New Presidential Debate Thread.

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Mon, 11 Oct 2004 04:18:15 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

How can this be made ANY more clear? Saddam didn't have WMD (perhaps, I'm still not convinced because it doesn't make any logical sense to me that he didn't have them and then deceived the inspectors)... and theoretically he didn't have the means to make/come across said weapons... but also in the report is a discussion of the Oil for Palaces program which Saddam was using to bribe France, Germany, and Russia to get the sanctions on Iraq lifted. Doesn't it make sense? All this stuff going around trying to make it sound like Saddam was just some peaceful guy running a prosperous nation of people who loved their dictator? Wouldn't it make sense that it's all a conspiracy to get the sanctions lifted? Saddam's a nice guy... he doesn't need these little sanctions in his way. I think he's served his pennance... right?

---