

---

Subject: The WMD and terrorist ties that didn't exist...or did they?

Posted by [Aircraftkiller](#) on Mon, 11 Oct 2004 03:13:02 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

As the victors it's our right to make money off the war. I personally think we should take their oil and annex the sections of Iraq with it for all the trouble that nation has caused us.

The difference with the bribery is that I only see you focus on the US, and how you can incriminate us. How about playing fair and focusing equally on the others responsible for this mess?

I don't care if it was the law or not. The problem with the "law" was that it was flawed. Generally, when laws are antiquated or not good, you'll try to get them changed. We did, if I remember correctly. It's hard to change the laws when the people who don't want it changed are the ones being bribed.

At that point it doesn't become law, it becomes a chokehold on doing what it is that you need to do in order to protect your nation.

As for consequences, the only consequences we should face are ones involving us pulling out of the UN and withdrawing all funding, along with removing our soldiers from every overseas location except for war spots including Afghanistan and Iraq.

Other than that, they should face the consequences for being an inept world body that only exists to serve itself, not humanity as a whole.

---