

---

Subject: Kerry did it again...

Posted by [NHJ BV](#) on Sun, 03 Oct 2004 08:07:35 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Nodbugger

He said he wanted to invade every country except Iraq it is all right there in his words.

Quote:And I will hunt down and kill the terrorists, wherever they are.

So what was wrong with attacking Iraq?

That there were no terrorists in Iraq, except maybe in the northern Kurdish parts?

NodbuggerQuote:And we got weapons of mass destruction crossing the border every single day, and they're blowing people up.

I thought there were no WMDs in Iraq?

He's talking about foreign people going into Iraq to blow stuff up.

NodbuggerQuote:And there's an enormous undone job to protect the loose nuclear materials in the world that are able to get to terrorists.

What Nuclear materials that Saddam didn't have and he didn't give away? I think he is lying!

Why do you assume he's talking about Iraq here?

NodbuggerQuote:The only building that was guarded when the troops when into Baghdad was the oil ministry. We didn't guard the nuclear facilities.

What Nuclear Facilities?

Nukes != nuclear facilities.

NodbuggerQuote:We didn't guard the foreign office, where you might have found information about weapons of mass destruction. We didn't guard the borders.

What WMDs?

The WMD's Bush believed to be there. Can't you see he's talking about a hypothetical situation here in which there were WMDs, as Bush said?

NodbuggerQuote:I believe that we have to win this. The president and I have always agreed on that. And from the beginning, I did vote to give the authority, because I thought Saddam Hussein was a threat, and I did accept that intelligence.

So why are you against the war?

He's against how Bush handled it, I believe.

NodbuggerQuote:Secondly, when we went in, there were three countries: Great Britain, Australia and the United States. That's not a grand coalition. We can do better.

<http://www.heritage.org/Research/MiddleEast/wm225.cfm>

We bit more than that. Try 51 more.

Obviously the guy's not going to sum up 51 countries during a debate. The other 51 hardly contributed anything. As Kerry later pointed out, you can hardly call this a coalition.

NodbuggerQuote:But what he has said is that, even knowing there were no weapons of mass destruction, even knowing there was no imminent threat, even knowing there was no connection with Al Qaida, he would still have done everything the same way. Those are his words.

Those are also your words!

...he's quoting Bush here.

NodbuggerQuote:And we know that there were further diplomatic efforts under way. They just decided the time for diplomacy is over and rushed to war without planning for what happens afterwards.

I don't think two years is a rush to war.

After diplomacy, they did rush to war. They did not really prepare for war for two years.

NodbuggerQuote:We need to be smarter about now we wage a war on terror. We need to deny them the recruits. We need to deny them the safe havens.

So why was it wrong to attack Iraq?

Because there were no terrorists there. Try invading Saudi-Arabia if you want to find terrorists.

NodbuggerQuote:I wasn't misleading when I said he was a threat. Nor was I misleading on the day that the president decided to go to war

So what was wrong with the invasion?

The fact it was handled pretty bad. And Saddam was not a threat to the US (nor to anyone else

really).

NodbuggerQuote: I will never let those troops down, and will hunt and kill the terrorists wherever they are.

They were in Iraq.

Now they are, yes, thanks to Mr. Bush.

NodbuggerQuote:When you guard the oil ministry, but you don't guard the nuclear facilities, the message to a lot of people is maybe, "Wow, maybe they're interested in our oil."

Maybe because 99% of Iraq's exports are oil?

And I say again...what Nuclear Facilities?

I pointed that out already. Besides, it shouldn't be that hard to guard both.

---