

---

Subject: Lets put a positive spin on some facts for once

Posted by [Fabian](#) on Tue, 14 Sep 2004 18:34:58 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

bigjoe14Yes, JFK did start the Vietnam conflict. Yes, it was a French-Vietnamese conflict. But the French were defeated. Then the Japanese stepped into Vietnam. They were defeated also. Then the UN got involved to watch over the surrender of the Japanese. The UN split Vietnam into North and South (North being the communist portion) and funded and supported South Vietnam. Once the Berlin Wall was constructed in the 1960's, JFK stepped up our involvement even more in Vietnam. More than the UN allowed us to. Then once Kennedy was shot in the head and Lyndon B. Johnson took over as President, he screwed up things even more than Kennedy did.

You still don't get it. He started the US involvement, but he did not start the original conflict. I hate to spend too much time on it because it doesn't matter too much. Moving on...

DoitleDo you think a child cries more every night, when they're father is killed by a thug on the street, than if he were killed by Iraqi mortar fire. I doubt that. I doubt a child would ever say "My father died because of gang crime, no biggie... I'm just so fortunate that my father DIDNT die defending his country, for if that were true I just don't know how I'd go on"

Im talking about both US soldiers and Iraqi civilians. Between the deaths on both sides, the destruction and PTSD that so many people will get from being in a warring state for so long, the emotional costs of a war are much higher than that of a murder/murders.

---