

---

Subject: Lets put a positive spin on some facts for once

Posted by [Fabian](#) on Mon, 13 Sep 2004 21:05:01 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

bigjoe14There were 39 combat related killings in Iraq during the month of January. In the fair city of Detroit, there were 35 murders in the month of January. That's just one American city, about as deadly as the entire war-torn country of Iraq.

Why don't we talk totals, not just January, shall we? Why, I believe 80 people were killed just today! Ho Boy! If you were to pick a different month, like September, you would more than double the number of murders in Detroit. Not to mention the massive emotional and psychological consequences of war that places like Detroit don't have to deal with.

bigjoe14From 1942-1945, 450,000 lives were lost, an average of 112,500 per year.

Those were casualties from a necessary war. If we didn't go to war then, we would eventually have to face a bigger enemy anyway. Previous posts have already rebutted your supposed theory that our involvement in WWII was not justified, so I won't bother.

bigjoe14John F. Kennedy started the Vietnam conflict in 1962. Vietnam never attacked us.

JFK didn't start the Vietnamese conflict in 1962. It was a French-Vietnamese conflict, and we got involved. If you meant to say "he started US involvement," you should have said so.

bigjoe14It took less time to take Iraq than it took to count the votes in Florida!The US population is over 280 million. Iraq's population is somewhere near 24 million (?). On average, it does not take counting votes as long as did in 2000. But anyway, is your point that Iraq can hold more efficient elections than the US? If so, what is the relevance to our handling of the war in Iraq? Well, we may be in a war that is based on faulty intelligence and we've lost over 1000 lives that never needed to be lost, but hell, we can overlook an election that is so much quicker than that of the US's!! Go us!

Try comparing timeframes to similar events that happened previously. Your attempts (who are we kidding--some other source's attempts) to put these timeframes in perspective are hiding how long the said events should take.

---