Subject: Zell Miller's an ass. Posted by SuperFlyingEngi on Thu, 09 Sep 2004 00:29:34 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

CrimsonYeah, that's funny... most Democrats voted against Civil Rights... now they walk around acting like they're the black man's only hope. :rolleyes:

That was back when there were a large amount of rascist Southern democrats. Learn your history. But here it goes. Okay, so, back in the day, Lincoln abolished slavery. So, in the South, after that, Lincoln was a Republican, right? So the people who opposed him joined up with the Democratic party in the South. These people were rascist. They started to vanish after the Civil Rights Act was passed.

There, that's a super-brief history lesson, but it's a basic overview of what happened.

CrimsonHave you, or have you not, said that we are the reason that the terrorists hate us? Because I can assure you that a lot of the world and most liberals believe it. You're trying to relate this very erroneously.

Zell Miller is saying that the left believes that our problems with the terrorists are our own fault for being who we are. Reagan said that domestically, government was more of a problem than a solution. The right believes that government is too large and needs to be downsized. They believe the federal government needs to revert some of its authorities and oversight back to the states where it is Constitutionally mandated that the responsibilities lie.

So, in conclusion, Zell Miller is speaking of foreign affairs, Reagan was speaking of domestic affairs. The federal government is, in fact, Constitutionally responsible for the common defense, so this IS their territory.

This isn't so simple as right and wrong. I'm not saying the terrorists hate us for who we are, but we have performed hostile actions ways back that we tend to forget about. Like, when we shelled the Bekaa Valley with one of our battleships during Israel's big fight with the Middle East after it was established. Well, a whole bunch of terrorists live in the Bekaa Valley, and they were pretty pissed when we killed their friends and family. And that's where a lot of terrorists come from. I don't hate America. But not hating America doesn't mean I have to always pretend our country has always done the right thing.

CrimsonTalk is cheap. Where's the action? Clinton had 8 years and he didn't do much... if he had, September 11th, 2001 would have been just another happy Tuesday.

I've already posted this two seperate times in different threads, but apparently you have chosen to ignore it both times. I'll maybe post it again in here, but it's a lot of typing and I'm tired right now.

CrimsonWhy did you even write this? It makes you look like an idiot because you couldn't bother to refute it. What he is succinctly referring to is the fact that Kerry would want the UN to approve any use of our military.

But where does that come from? John Kerry doesn't want any 'ole country to decide the U.S.'s

own fate, he wants the world to be behind us when we defeat various evil people, such as, but not limited to, terrorists. They're not the same thing. Iraq was not an imminent threat. Not imminent enough for us to dash in after blowing off the UN. Not nearly.

CrimsonExcuse me?! Have you not heard his testimony before Congress where he accused his fellow soldiers of dispicable acts against humanity in Vietnam? Electrodes on genitals? Ring a bell? You can hardly dispute that this testimony played a major part in how badly the veterans were treated when they returned from Vietnam. And by the way, we never declared war in Vietnam. It was a conflict.

Yeah, I've seen his testimony, but what are you saying? That this didn't happen? Vietnam was an atrocities war. That's been common history for 35 years. Until Swift Boat Stupids have come out and challenged history, naval documents, yadda yadda. The Vietnam conflict. Whatever.

CrimsonHello, kettle? This is pot. You are black.

Kerry said, in his new ad, "George Bush's wrong choices have weakened us here at home."

Cheney said, "It's absolutely essential that eight weeks from today, on Nov. 2, we make the right choice, because if we make the wrong choice then the danger is that we'll get hit again and we'll be hit in a way that will be devastating from the standpoint of the United States."

I ask you, how is this accusation ANY different than what Cheney said? Kerry says "Bush made wrong choices that made us weak". Cheney says "If Kerry is elected, he will make wrong choices that will make us weak."

I'm also wondering how we're weak? I mean... for the sake of argument... let's say Bush is making bad decisions to defend us. I mean, I can see your justification. These terrorists have been hitting us left and right. We've lost more planes... there have been chemical attacks in public buildings and at sporting events... Americans are living in fear just waiting for the next attack because they have been constant ever since we started the War on Terror.

OK, now back to reality... we have people already saying "shut up about 9/11 already"... no one is scared any more. There hasn't been any attacks on our homeland since 9/11. If Bush's policies have made us weak, where is the evidence of this alleged weakness?

I just can't understand it...

Cheney said we will be attacked by terrorists, trying to scare people into voting Bush. Kerry said Bush is weak on defense. While somewhat the same, they aren't the same thing.

So us not having been attacked in just 3 years is direct proof that George Bush is being a succesful terror president? How come he raises the terror alert so much?

CrimsonAwww... poor baby. Don't dish it out if you can't take it.

Uh....

CrimsonYeah, and Vietnam was over 30 years ago... let's not live in the past. You had no problem with Clinton being a draft dodger, now you hail Kerry's glorious 4 months of service. It's OK if Clinton dodged the draft because that was so many decades ago, but we'd better attack Bush for allegedly missing part of his National Guard duty. Hypocrite?

How do you know for certain that Kerry started trumpeting his war record first? Me, I believe it was Karl Rove, with his tactics of attacking his opponent's greatest strengths. Like Kerry's war record. And Clinton didn't actively dodge the draft, he just got lucky with them not calling him in for service with their crazy birthday system. Not like George Bush, who got daddy to send him to the National Guard, and then skipped out of the National Guard. The two aren't the same.