

---

Subject: The Ultimate Iraq War thread

Posted by [DaveGMM](#) on Tue, 31 Aug 2004 02:46:43 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

As any sane minded person can figure out (obviously this excludes Nodbugger), Javaxcx has been making the same point for the last upteen posts:

Quote:Oh well, I guess Crimson must be brainwashed as well. Even she agrees that the United States and the Coalition of the Willing violated international law (even though she still feels strongly that that law is flawed).

Quote:The law says you may not speed. If you speed, you are violating the law, therefore, doing an illegal act. If you are fortunate enough not to be caught, or are fortunate enough not to suffer the appropriate consequences of violating the law, that does not negate the illegality of the act. It simply means you got lucky

Quote:No one cares about that when we're talking about inherent illegality. This problem goes back to March 19th, 2003. You know, when you launched the campaign to get those WMDs didn't appear in stockpiles and were refuted by the Intelligence Reports? Also, the same time when Saddam was legally, and officially recognized as the sovereign head of Iraq. Before, of course, you blew up part of the nation, took him out of power illegally, and instituted a representative government of your choosing.

Quote:I'll assume you'll point out that "international policy" is a sovereign decision. And it is. However, while that policy does exist legally within each nation, the actions taken as per said policy must not violate the United Nations charter. This means, that if you violate this policy, (like the US and CoW did), you violate it (as far as I've researched) through the United Nations but not of your own sovereign international policy. This means that they cannot be legally charged in their own country, but the U.N. does have the legitimate authority to mediate, or prosecute, based on that illegality.

So, like warranto, I'll ask this:

Quote:And please enlighten us, just how is Javaxcx contradicting himself?

---