NodbuggerSomeone was putting words into his mouth.

Quote: On your first question, let me say that this is an issue that the Council debated and considered for a long period. There have been divisions, and we cannot overlook that. Those divisions and issues -- positions of principle that governments and individuals took -- are a matter for the record. I do not think that the resolution that the Council adopted last week is going to change the history of the recent past. However, the Council has given us a solid and a legal basis for our operations in Iraq, and I think at this stage that all the Council members are focused on what they can do to help Iraq and the Iraqi people -- and I think that should be our focus and our emphasis. I think if we pursue our actions on that basis, we will be able to make a difference.

Because as he says here , it does not matter to him.

How hard is it for you to understand, no where has it said what we did was illegal. We did not violate Iraq's sovereignty we did not do any of that.

Oh yes, I'm putting words into his mouth :rolleyes: . He didn't explicitly say it in that interview? No! Really? I could have sworn the guy asking the questions implied it had been said in a different adress! But how could I have thought of that? Oh, I know!

Quote:....Question: There are critics in the Middle East who are very strongly criticizing the United Nations, first, for in their eyes legitimizing the results of an illegal war -- which you yourself described as illegal -- in resolution 1483 (2003)....

He says it right here!

Quote: which you yourself described as illegal

Never violated Iraq's sovereignty, let alone territorial integrity? Guess what an invasion does? Thats right! It violates both of them!