

---

Subject: Litmus test for liberals

Posted by [Crimson](#) on Wed, 18 Aug 2004 18:41:34 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

When the big dogs of the UN are deep in Saddam's back pocket for lots of money, their objectivity goes away. France and others had lots of money to lose if Saddam lost power and they voted for their own benefit because they don't give a flying fuck about America or Americans. They failed to act objectively and were selfish instead.

A law enforcement entity is only as good as its law enforcement capabilities. Unless all the other UN countries want to declare war on the US or capture Bush and try him for these "crimes" and put him in jail, then the UN itself is useless as a law making authority and hence worthless.

Overall, I would have done the same thing. Saddam blatantly violated all the resolutions for the cease fire agreement, therefore he needed to be taken care of. He had 12 fucking years to prove to the UN that he was disarmed. If he had truly disarmed, don't you think he would have shown the inspectors that instead of concealing his disarmament? Logically it makes sense that those weapons are somewhere if not in Iraq.

---