
Subject: Litmus test for liberals

Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Tue, 17 Aug 2004 20:09:59 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

GizbotvasA few questions for the Bush fans here;

~do you think we are safer now than before the war in Iraq?
~do you think there are FEWER terrorists now that we occupy Iraq?
~do you think that occupying Iraq will slow/stop Al Queada?
~why do YOU think we went to war, I think it was to find weapons of mass destruction, but the reasons change so often, why do YOU think we went to war in Iraq?

1. Safer in General? I would say yes. As you can see there hasn't bee another terrorist attack on US soil and all the people who would committing these attacks are taking on soldiers, who are equipped to fight these people. I would rather have American soldiers fighting the terrorists abroad than American civilians fighting them in the streets.

2. Of course there are fewer terrorists. Didn't kill about 300 the past weekend?

3. It will not stop it, but it will cause them to go to Iraq and if we show the cruel things they do more often people will be less inclined to join them. For an example. Now that Saddam is gone Iraqis are not able to watch television from outside of Iraq. They get tons more information than they used to and are now exposed to things going on in their own country and around the world. So now that people are car bombing the civilian population they will get really pissed off and more than likely help American and Iraqi security forces.

4. To get rid of Saddam. No matter what reason you heard. The main objective was to get rid of Saddam. As long as Saddam was no longer part of Iraq and they had a democracy I am happy. Weapons of Mass destruction are still an issue. Because they still cannot be proven either way.
