

---

Subject: Litmus test for liberals

Posted by [Fabian](#) on Tue, 10 Aug 2004 18:54:31 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

You have a point, but that seems to be the only real advantage--one that is outweighed by several disadvantages. Besides, if all votes were equal, it would be in the candidates best interest to visit as many places as possible, and not just areas with high electoral points.

My vote is worth less than someone living, for example, in California. I don't see how that is fair. Period. If a state wins by literally 1 vote, than every vote for the losing candidate is an absolute waste. It is because of this that we end up with presidents whom the majority of Americans did not vote for, but are in office anyway.

A solution to both my side and your side of the argument would be to give electoral points proportionatly to what percent the candidate won by, for every state. For example, if Bush wins in state X, which has 10 electoral points, by getting 60% of the vote, he will only get 6 points (60% of 10).

My 2 cents.

---