

---

Subject: How Bush will steal the 2004 Election...

Posted by [ViperFUD](#) on Wed, 04 Aug 2004 19:56:29 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

Nodbugger1 didn't think someone this stupid could exist.  
Neither did I. But apparently, you do.

Nodbugger1'm not even go to reply to that post because it is all Bull Shit.  
Ok, good. So this is the end of your post?

... Oh, apparently not ...

Nodbugger1. Tell me where the UN has said it is illegal, not where you say it is. The UN. Where have they said it?

HE DID. LET ME REPOST IT FOR YOU, SINCE YOU ARE AN IDIOT:

List of Security Council Resolutions and their voting results  
The Press Release from the UN in reponse to the Iraq-Kuwait situation.

Nodbugger2. The speeches say we are going to liberate and Free the Iraqi people. Someone does something because of what happens afterward.

Examples:

Someone wants money  
So they rob a bank  
Assuming they get away with it they ow have money

Wants Money=reason  
Robbing the bank=action  
Got money= Result

Is it really that hard for you to understand?

No where does Bush say, "Went went in only for WMD"

He says, "We're going in to disarm Iraq". That's it. Just because something is going to happen does NOT make it a reason. But apparently you're some kinda tard kid who doesn't understand this.

Nodbugger3. You are a hypocrite and need to shut up. you say the way we did it was bad. Well Mr. I know everything...how do you suggest we would do it? He wasn't going to do it by himself. And giving him time obviously doesn't work. Invading was the only way. So unless you come up with a better more feasible idea then you have no room to talk.

You're an idiot and need to shut up. You are making EVERY AMERICAN in these forums look like an idiot by being one yourself. MIGHT DOES NOT MAKE RIGHT. Just because we can go in and blow them the fuck up does not mean we SHOULD. The UN was resolving the situation through diplomatic means. Embargos, tariffs, and lots of pressure. Had things worked out the way they SHOULD have, the Iraqi people would have risen up in a revolution against Saddam

themselves (perhaps requesting US help) and things would be a lot better off.

Nodbugger4. The Un did not vote and at this moment in time they are not saying it is illegal. Only people who are not the UN are saying it was illegal. If the UN won't say it then it isn't. Simple as that.

Actually, the UN IS saying it's illegal, which is why there is such a big fuss about things in the UN right now. Read a newspaper.

Nodbugger5. Congress can go around what ever they want. the UN does not rule the US. Like I said before, the US does not need a permission slip to defend itself.

ROFLMAO. WRONG. Congress does NOT have the right to do "what ever they want." Here's an analogy: South Carolina says "we don't care what the US says; we can do whatever we want." result? Civil war.

The US is part of the UN. We voluntarily agreed to follow the rules and mandates it set forth. We cannot just ignore them because we don't like them anymore. The US canNOT just do whatever it wants.

Nodbugger6.I do not care what documents say. You are wrong about them. You are interpreting them differently than the UN. They never said it was illegal so it isn't illegal.

You should care what documents say. God fucking damn, man. How can you say this and expect to be taken seriously at all? Think before you speak.

Nodbugger7.Well you are an idiot for not doing anything. Some people can;t wait for the police. Every time you see on TV about someone that stopped a murder or robbery. You are against people acting by themselves. you are an idiot if you believe. Stay in Canada. Man I can;t wait for Canada to blow up. You are a bunch of idiots up there.

Just FYI, java voluntarily joined the Canadian military. He wants to Fix Shit that's Fucked Up. But the important thing is to do it in the Right Way. Why can't you seem to understand that the ends never justify the means?

Nodbugger8. The result of the war was the reason to go to war. Why do you keep lying? I pointed it out in the speech. If it was not a fucking reason why did he mention it so many times? If he didn't want to do it why did we? If it was not a reason why is so much energy focused on it? The Results of the fucking where were our reasons. Our reasons where to get rid of Saddam and liberate the Iraqi people. You just keep lying.

I almost can't follow this drivell. Are you mentally retarded?

I think what you're saying here is: "The results of the war are the reasons to go to war. If they weren't reasons, then why did he mention them?" Now, after you wipe the spittle off your lips, allow me to answer: they were effects, results, yes. But that does NOT make them a reason. And if you notice, Bush said, "We are going to war to rid Iraq of WMD's" (paraphrase). He did NOT say, "We are going to war to free the Iraqi people!" At least, not until we failed to find WMD's.

Nodbugger9. "Upon the death of Hindenburg in August 1934, Hitler was the consensus successor."

Ya, jackass. He was not elected. He was a successor. now go die.

He was not elected.

I think you need to learn English.

con-sen-sus

1 a : general agreement : UNANIMITY <the consensus of their opinion, based on reports... from the border -- John Hersey> b : the judgment arrived at by most of those concerned <the consensus was to go ahead>

ie. The consensus of the vote - the result (why do you have so much trouble with this concept?)

Nodbugger10. I am totally correct about the constitution. If Crimson wanted to ban you she could. If you were babbling outside a store the owner can force you to leave. a private citizen can stop another private citizens free speech. The government cannot.

Actually, you are totally WRONG about the Constitution; if you're babbling outside a store the owner can't force you to leave unless you're endangering the safty of others.

I think you need to actually READ the Constitution rather than just assuming based on what you saw on TV.

Nodbugger11, i think you forget both parents at one point where in the Army. My dad was in Iraq...twice. And may be going to Afghanistan. He agrees with the war and has been waiting for this to happen since 1991 when he was there first.

Every soldier who was in the Gulf War, would say we need to take out Saddam. every soldier in this war will say we need to take out Saddam. They all know what they are doing is a great thing. Have you ever even talked to American soldier, do me a favor...never talk on their behalf again. Perhaps. but just because people want something does NOT make it morally right. I think YOU need to STFU before talking on their behalf; you make them look like small minded idiots. I know they aren't; I support our troops; but i think you could best support our troops by not supporting them so vocally.

Nodbugger12. No Bush is not a terrorist. Saddam is a terrorist. Osama is a terrorist. You are a jackass for even attempting to compare them.

Hmmm ... what would you call someone who goes into someone else's country and blows stuf up? (hint: who am I talking about here? Bush, Saddam, or Osama? The fact that you can't tell which one I mean should tell you something.)

Nodbugger13. the means...congress voted. We kept our own sovereignty and Iraq is free. The UN isn't complaining only jackasses like you. I don't see where I am wrong.

Actually, Iraq LOST it's sovereignty, the UN IS complaining, and you are wrong. Iraq is free again, but they DID lose their sovereignty for a while. And they still don't have it back; they're still under our thumb.

Nodbugger14. Where does it say we cannot start up the cease-fire? If Iraq attacks us, we can get

rid of it and do what we want.

What the fuck? How was any of that a cease-fire? It was a fucking START-fire. What we did to Afganistan, ok, that was justifiable. But what we did to Iraq? WRONG. If they had NO WMD's, then they weren't a threat to us, and we had no right to go in after them. Which is why WMD's are the ONLY ACCEPTABLE reason for the war.

Nodbugger15. I don't watch CNN.

I listen to people that have actually been to Iraq. The people who have suffered. The people are happy. The Iraqis that are very Happy about this.

Now until you find what i ask for, you are wrong.

Maybe you should. Or at least some news. I don't understand why you think saying "I have no knowledge of this situation" will help your argument. Individual soldiers have little to no knowledge of the big picture. That's not their problem. Their problem is killing Habib before he kills them. That's it.

I think you need to seriously Shut The Fuck Up before you get WTFOwned again. You look like an idiot to all of us. Grow up, read the Constitution, read the UN Charter, fuckin read the Nuremburg trial transcripts and resolutions before you speak again.

If Bush loses this election, it won't be because of liberals. It'll be because of jackass conservatives like you who keep running their mouths instead of sitting down and shutting up.

---