
Subject: How Bush will steal the 2004 Election...
Posted by [ViperFUD](#) on Wed, 04 Aug 2004 19:03:41 GMT
[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

Alright.

Gotta chime in real fast.

java:

You keep using the word "infer" where you mean "imply". Cut that shit out. It's fuckin annoying.

Nodbugger:

You keep talking out your ass rather than thinking about shit. Cut it out. It's fucking annoying.

I said it once already, but i'm going to cut and paste it here since you obviously didn't listen before, as to how results relate to reasons.

<FLASHBACK>

Your cake analogy is stupid, flawed, and contributes nothing to the discussion. All you're saying is that a "reason" causes an action. Something you should remember: desire for a certain result can be a reason ... but just because a result happens does NOT, repeat does NOT make it a reason.

Now, this is the natural progression of things:

reason -> action -> result/repercussion

and FYI, a "repercussion" is the exact same thing as a "result," except in common terminology, a "repercussion" creates secondary results.

Lets look at the war on Iraq.

"They have weapons of mass destruction" (reason) ->

"We get Saddam" (action) ->

"The Iraqi people are free" (result), "The world hates the US" (repercussion)

What Bush did, however, about 1/2 through "Operation Fuck Iraq up" was say, "Oh, shit, no WMD's ... umm, let's call it 'Operation Iraqi Freedom.'"

</FLASHBACK>

Now, before you call me unintelligent or some shit, I think you really need to check yourself. Cause I will hit you with all guns blazing.

And for the record, java is about twice as intelligent as you. Just FYI.

~Viper
