

---

Subject: How Bush will steal the 2004 Election...

Posted by [warranto](#) on Sun, 01 Aug 2004 20:10:23 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

NodbuggerwarrantoOh, one more thing. Is this the vote that you said never happened?

Quote:Divergent views were expressed by the representatives of the United States, United Kingdom and Spain, which had tabled a further resolution on the situation on 7 March containing a deadline of Monday, 17 March, for Iraq's full compliance. Failing to win the necessary support for the draft in the Council and amid the threat of a veto by a permanent member, the three announced on Monday that they would not put it to a vote

If so, that "vote that never happened" was to set the "full compliance" for that monday. Nothing about attacking Iraq or not.

If I'm mistaken, please point out where I could find the answer to what you mean.

As it says, it never happened. They were going to vote to go to Iraq.

France was going to vote No. They were the only one voting no they had the Veto power. So out of the 7 or so that mattered. Only 1 was going to vote no.

In the UN Majority does not rule.

And as I have said before. The war is not illegal. In no way has it ever been illegal. Every thing you have said does not make it illegal.

Besides, why are you so against this war? What is your reasoning.

Don't say casualties, or terrorist attacks. We knew those were going to happen. Everyone knew that was going to happen. The fact is less happened than people thought were going to happen. People were saying thousands of American soldiers will die and hundreds of thousands of Iraqis will be killed. Well it isn't even close to that. And when you do get rid of a government in a country you will always have people that do not like that. No matter who you take out of power or what country you are going into what is going on in Iraq is what has happened every time anyone has done something like this. And every time we have replaced their style of government the country becomes much better for it.

Ya, I know. You don't bother to read things.. so let me quote it once more for your benefit...

warrantoWhen did I ever say I was against the war? Oh, right... never.

I never stated that majority rules in the UN, so I don't know why you like making up "facts" that people supposedly say, all it does is damage your credibility. Strike two, all in one post.

As for completely ignoring my post in the first place, I guess that forces me to repeat what I had

asked. Where is the documentation that states the supposed vote was not going to happen? I mean, even the vote-that-never-happened regarding the March 17 deadline was documented. But I'll just take your word for it, no vote happened regarding the attack on Iraq. Thank you for supporting the statement that it was illegal! Using the proof that you provided (resolution 1441) and subsequent resolutions on it has affirmed and reaffirmed the "sovereignty and territorial integrity of Iraq". Perhaps you don't quite understand what that means, so here are some definitions for you to remember...

sovereignty

n 1: government free from external control

ter·ri·to·ri·al (tr-tôr-l, -tr-)

adj.

Of or relating to the geographic area under a given jurisdiction: the territorial limits of a country.

n·teg·ri·ty (n-tgr-t)

n.

The state of being unimpaired; soundness or wholeness.

In other words, no one was allowed to usurp control, or violate the territory of Iraq. Guess what America did? Right... usurped control and violated the territory of Iraq. And since there was no vote to do otherwise, it WAS ILLEGAL.

---