Subject: How Bush will steal the 2004 Election... Posted by Nodbugger on Sun, 01 Aug 2004 05:27:59 GMT View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

warrantohmm.. now you've confused me. I though you were saying that the name was designed so because the purpose of the war was to free Iraq. So using that logic, "Operation Overlord" was an operation to... Over the Lord? Thats the only reason I could think of for you to make the connection between the two... Here's a hint: the name of the operation does not automatically mean it's the purpose of the Operation.

Your right, in 1933 when Hitler was doing whatever, noone would have done anything. Infact, no one DID anything. Using the past "if you knew" doesn't hold any strength in any sort of arguement. But if you want to... Why didn't Bush go to war as soon as he came to office? I mean, Saddam had already been in power for a while, and everyone knew the stuff he had done. Infact, why didn't Bush Sr do something about it seeing as he was mere miles from his front door?

Infact, if you want to argue semantics about Hitler, the US sat back and WATCHED Hitler murder thousands, only entering the war when Japan attacked, and Germany declared war on the US as a result of the two countries being allies. Millions of people dead, and the United States of America did absolutely nothing about it, even though they knew what was going on...

stealing is stealing, and yes that means the thief broke the law. I'm glad you can see that. It's unfortunate however that you fail to see how it connects to the topic at hand. The UN had stated that the US could not enter Iraq (Bush even recognized this in one of his speaches [Javaxcx has it, perhaps he can enlighten you to it's content]), yes the chose to do so, Violating the UN's desicion... the law was broken right then and there, regardless of the "noble" and "Virtuous" reasons Bush had.

Overlord was later dropped.

But yes, the Operation names do infer what the Operation is about.

Desert Storm- Invade Kuwait Desert Shield- Protect Kuwait Desert Fox- Attack Iraq Stealthily Iraq Freedom- Free Iraqis. Overlord- Dominance over everyone

Do you seriously think they would name it that if it had nothing to do with freeing Iraqis?

Actually it does hold an Argument, Bill Oreilly proved this with Michael Moore. MM admitted he would pre-emptively attack Hitler knowing what he would do. We don't know what Saddam will do, why take the chance?

Bush Jr didn't do anything because starting a war you first week in office isn't the best thing to start a presidency on.

Bush Sr didn't do it because the UN said no. Remember that High Way of Death thing? American helicopters decimated what was left of the Iraqi military. The attack took place inside Iraq.

Actually no. The US did not sit back. Without US supplies, planes, vehicles, gasoline. ammunition...the allies would not have lasted. The Battle of Britain would have been lost if America did not produce almost all of Britain's fighters. We originally developed the P-51 mustang for the British.

Besides we entered in 1942. Only two years after Hitler invaded Europe. Were were fighting Japan within weeks of Pearl Harbor. And it took Allied forces 3 more years to develop Operation Over Lord.

We did not just sit by and do nothing.

And allied soldier knew about the concentration camps until we actually found them.

The UN never voted.

Page 2 of 2 ---- Generated from Command and Conquer: Renegade Official Forums