

---

Subject: How Bush will steal the 2004 Election...

Posted by [Nodbugger](#) on Sun, 01 Aug 2004 05:03:41 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

warrantoNodbuggerIf you even read the definition of repercussion you would know what in-direct effect was.

And the operation for Iraq was called Operation Iraqi freedom. If you paid any attention at all you would know this. they dubbed it this before we ever invaded.

And no it is not a side effect. It is a reason. Our reason was that we can liberate the Iraqi people if we invade.

It is a reason for the invasion and an accomplishment of the invasion.

Ah, ok, so your right about Javaxcx meaning indirect. It doesn't mean anything, as I SPECIFIED side-effect.

Interesting how "Iraqi Freedom" Has everything to do with Weapons of Mass Destruction and Terrorist ties. I'd think "Iraqi Freedom" would mean simply freeing a people..."

Well, there's one person who passed among the top of his class in the school of Blind-Follower.

As for your retort to Senc, it's complete garbage. If Hitler came into Pwer after the UN was formed, I'm sure the UN would have resolved that it was ok for the war to occur, if not, REGARDLESS OF THE REASON, it would still be illegal to go to war.

Here is a question for you: A starving Child steals a loaf of bread to feed his equally starving family. Was it illegal for him to steal it or not?

Iraqi Freedom was the name of the operation. As operation Over lord d-day and Operation Desert Storm was the Gulf War.

Bush did not name it. The military named it. I have 35 t-shirts my dad and other friends in Iraq bought there that say Operation Iraq Freedom on them.

How in any way am I a blind follower? Who Am I following blindly? Saddam was an asshole. If any other president was in charge and did the same thing as Bush I would support that decision.

I do not blindly follow people.

Why would they vote for it? In 1933 if the UN was around Hitler hadn't done anything wrong yet. Fast Forward to 1939 and so far, what we know about, has has done exactly what Saddam has done. And that was enough to start a war.

After that we found out he murdered 12 million people.

If you knew were in charge in 1933 and you knew what Hitler was going to do would you pre-emptively attack him?

Now after getting rid of Saddam we no longer have to wonder what he may do. The most he can do now is walk 4 week in any direction.

And yes, stealing is stealing. how ever we did not break any law.

---