Subject: How Bush will steal the 2004 Election...
Posted by Nodbugger on Sun, 01 Aug 2004 04:24:19 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

JavaxcxNodbuggerHow does the definition of reason prove me wrong.

Because a reason and an indirect result are not the same thing. America went to war for the reason to disarm Iraq. That in NO way directly equates to freeing the Iraqi populas. Etymology proves you wrong.

Quote: We want a free Iraqi people. So lets invade Iraq.

That was not a reason. This has been proven all ready. Iraq was invaded for the reason to disarm Saddam's weapon arsonal. Because of this fact, (that everyone BUT you seems to unequivocally agree) the rest of your "liberation" points are moot.

Quote: Security Council resolutions override the charter.

I suggest you read Resolution 1441. The Unites States does not get the authority to override the Security Council. No member of the UN does. Thank God for oligarchies.

Quote:Besides, they didn't seem to care when Clinton bombed Iraq or started a military campaign in Somalia.

They didn't seem to care about Vietnam, they didn't seem to care about the Iraq-Iraq war. They didn't care about all those little skirmishes in Latin and African countries.

The UN doesn't care most of the time.

These are irrelevent. None of these have anything to do with the United States violating international law. Whats the solution if you're unhappy? Leave the UN. I for one, would feel safer.

Quote: As you can see the UN has not condemned the attack. In fact they have added a section about it to their website.

Would you condemn the actions of your biggest contributor? The UN is notorious for this. This doesn't change the fact that international law was agreed to.

Quote: They even passed a new Resolution praising in the invasion and actually looking forward to a free Iraq.

http://ods-dds-ny.un.org/doc/UNDOC/GEN/N04/381/16/PDF/N0438116.pdf?OpenElement

Your link doesn't work.

Every thing I quoted Bush said, we will free Iraq and its people will be happy with democracy and no more torture.

How the hell is that not a reason?

I can't believe you are seriously this stupid.

He said it it is right there. We want to invade to give Iraqis freedom and rights. He says it right there.

Why the hell do you keep denying it?

If you understood the English language, I said Security council resolutions over ride that charter. 1441 called for immediate action and severe consequences to be taken against Saddam Hussein.

And his broke them we followed the law to the word.

There is the UNs Iraq home page.

http://www.un.org/apps/news/infocusRel.asp?infocusID=50&Body=Iraq&Body1=inspect

Res 1546.

Every single one of those is examples of countries belong to the UN violating this so called UN charter. Yes Iraq is a member of the UN. Not so sure about Iran. But Iraq attacked. America attacked places. Never even telling the UN until it was on the news that night. Yet they didn't care then. And they don't care now. They are pointless.