Subject: How Bush will steal the 2004 Election...
Posted by Javaxcx on Sun, 01 Aug 2004 02:41:08 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

NodbuggerSo we then what is your problem?? what are you bitching about?

Remember kid, you were the one who thought a reprecussion was a reason. I merely corrected you, as I've been doing all night.

Quote:Lets go back before we invaded. We know nothing about what is happening now.

Gladly. I'll go to March 17th, 2003.

Quote: Many countries say Saddam has wmd, no evidence point opposite.

I could argue that, but I'll humour you.

Quote:Saddam is evil.

Undisputable. But not a reason to go to war. It wasn't stated this was a reason to go to war on the 17th OR before.

Quote:We can liberate Iraqi people.

Again, not a reason to go to war. Read the transcripts. At no point does Bush even infer that "we are going to Iraq for the reason to liberate the people."

Quote: What do you do? You have 3 reasons to go to war.

I have "1" reason to go to war as of the 17th of March, 2003.

Quote:2 endanger you and the people you were hired to protect. The other is a good deed that will benefit millions of people.

One reason endangers people. The other two "reasons" are repercussions of the first.

Quote: There is no way to not justify Iraq.

I've stated many times now that the International Law that the United States AGREED to proves this war is not justified. Also the lack of WMD that were promised in stockpiles, but I see no need to kick a dead horse.

Quote: And where are you getting this repercussion shit from.

Liberating the Iraqi people is a reason to go to war.

No it was not. You are taking information from those speechs that was not ever there.

Quote: Same with freeing the French, Chinese, Austrians, Polish, Russians, Korean, Vietnamese

and countless other countries taken over by Hitler.

The reasons for going to Iraq and the reasons for taking out Hitler are completely different. This point is moot.

Quote: They were not just a bi-product. They were a reason to go to war.

No, they were not. Stop arguing in a circle, it grows tiresome.