Subject: Edwards Running as VP

Posted by Nukelt15 on Thu, 08 Jul 2004 00:41:06 GMT

View Forum Message <> Reply to Message

Apparently, reading is not your strongest area, so I'll just go ahead and repeat myself for you, ok?

Quote:I haven't taken the time to review Edwards' cases, so I can't tell which are and which aren't legitimate, but I'll bet every penny I own that his morals are no better than those of any other lawyer in the country. Just offering a different perspective; I'm biased by my family's experience with malpractice suits, and I'd find it very difficult to believe anyone who would argue for the plaintiff in such cases.

Read carefully, and you'll find that I have made only one assumption: That Edwards' morals are no better or worse than any other lawyer. I have not made any false accusations, or pulled any faulty information out of my ass, or anything else of the sort. I said I would bet money that he's no more saintly than the next guy. Frankly, if you drew any other conclusion from that, I pity your lack of skill with the english language.

Two things have been established which influence my opinion of Edwards:

- 1. He was a lawyer, and
- 2. He took malpractice cases.

Since my family has had, and is having some rather foul experiences with bullshit malpractice cases, I have a rather foul opinion of lawyers who are involved in them. Because of that, I refuse to vote for anyone who is, or ever has been a lawyer who took the plaintiff's side in a malpractice case- I do not care what their record is or how legitimate their case was. Yes, that means even if every one of those plaintiffs was telling the 100% solid rock truth.

Since I already said I know next to nothing about Edwards' record in my previous post, I think your flaming is rather unnecessary. I admit to it- my own experiences have given me a prejudice. Gee whiz, I'm so sorry for being human! Had I presented any lies or faulty evidence, you'd have something to bitch about, but right now you're flaming thin air.