

---

Subject: GREAT IDEA --> A WAY TO FIX LADDER RANKINGS (and get your re  
Posted by [Anonymous](#) on Mon, 13 May 2002 06:06:00 GMT

[View Forum Message](#) <> [Reply to Message](#)

---

My skill rating system:As you all know the top of the ladder is dominated by 24-7 players. Lots of people are very good players but can't play 24-7 like them, I was thinking of the best way to solve this problem and base the ladder more on skill than playing 2000 games and I think I found it, here's what I propose, what do you think. Ladder PTS per game+ PTS per win, formula is (kinda like a skill ratio):Take ther total current ladder pts and subtract 10,000 (you start with) and divide that by total games played, and divide total pts by wins, add them, and have a minimum of 10 wins before it calculates on ladder. \_\_\_\_\_ This is good for the following reasons:-Playing a rediculas number of games is no advantage-This makes you focus on winning more than getting cheap pts and contributing nothing to your team and losing-Should encourage more teamwork-Ladder will be about quality and winning rather than mass gaming \_\_\_\_\_ Here's how it will work for example:Aeonking (current #1 spot) 260 games total (154 wins) played, 36,524 total pts - so  $26,524/260 = 102.02$  and  $26,524/154 = 172.23$ ,  $102.02 + 172.33 = 274.35$  PTS rating on ladder Edwardckw (current #10 spot) 306 total games total (162 wins), 23,378 total pts - so  $13,378/306 = 43.72$ ,  $13,378/162 = 82.58$ ,  $43.72 + 82.58 = 125.3$  rating on ladderAzWhoopin (me, current #77 spot) 92 total games (46 wins), 17,580 total pts - so  $7,580/92 = 82.39$ ,  $7,580/46 = 164.78 =$  rating 247.17 on ladder-Notice how that Ed guy is ranked 10, played a ton of games badly and gets a very low rating on my system. -Aeon gets a high rating because he is a good player and would still be ranked high or #1-I get a high rating close to the current 1# ranked player even though I played under 100 games--->So what do you think about this rating system? I personally think it works real well, try posting your info and doing the math to get your rating, or post your info and i'll do the math for you to test it out. \_\_\_\_\_ I know what some people are going to say, what about the people who don't playing 32-40 player games cuz of 56k or whatever?? They arn't on the ladder now either unless they are playing 100 games a day, also most of the good players play in the 28-40 player games and winning MVP in a less than 28 player is not a big deal anyway.[ May 13, 2002: Message edited by: AzWhoopin ]

---